

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/730,192	Applicant(s) COTNER ET AL.	
	Examiner JAY A. MORRISON	Art Unit 2168	

All Participants:

(1) JAY A. MORRISON.

(2) Jim Riegel (Reg No. 36651).

Date of Interview: 16 July 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

19-21

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jay A Morrison/
Examiner, Art Unit 2168

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The newly added dependant claims 19-21 were indicated to the Applicant as being allowable if rewritten in independent form, and the Examiner offered to make these changes in an Examiner's Amendment if the Applicant agreed. However, the Applicant asked that an office action be completed so that he could give the matter further consideration..